

The Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner examine the clean version of the specification that starts on page 20.

Item 3(c): “The two different versions of Figures 6 cannot be read. Also which version should be examined..”

RESPONSE: Examination by the Applicant confirmed shadings in the gray scale that are very similar thereby leading to the inability to discern features in Figure 6. A replacement sheet for Figure 6 is attached, that has replaced the gray scale shading with more readily discernable patterns. No new matter has been added. This figure was originally submitted in color which caused the confusion.

Item 4(e): “Examiner is unable which of the different 3 sets of claims should be examined. Please clarify..”

RESPONSE: Page 33 is the start of the marked up version of the claims.

Page 40 is the start of the clean version of the claims.

The Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner examines the clean version of the claims that starts on page 40.

Below, Applicant has provided the contents of the document presented herewith;

Listing of the claims – pg. 2 (below)

Response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment Office Action – pg. 3

Revised (Marked up version) Specification – pg. 4

Clean version of the specification – pg. 20

Revised (Marked up version) Claims – pg. 33

Clean version of the claims – pg. 40

Remarks, Replacement drawing sheets, Annotated drawing sheets – pg. 47

Listing of the Claims

Claims 1 – 11 (Canceled)

Claims 12-13 (Currently amended)

Claims 14- 16 (Previously presented)

Claim 17-22 (Currently amended)

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

Applicant acknowledges the lack of element numbers in the figures, which have been amended appropriately. The amended specification now reflects the added element numbers in describing the figures without adding any new matter. The element numbers inserted into Figure 6 are now also presented in the brief description of the drawings. Applicant respectfully requests that the newly provided version of Figure 6 be included in what is now the third formal request to reinstate the Notice of Allowance originally granted for this application. No new matter has been added to any portion of the specification nor the claims.

OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIFICATION


Applicant acknowledges the objections to the specification and abstract as indicated by the examiner. The disclosure (specification) has been corrected to remove any embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant has deleted this from the specification as required per MPEP 608.01.

Applicant submits that the application is now (again) in condition for allowance, and early notification of such action is earnestly solicited.

Dated this 29th day of November, 2006

Respectfully Submitted,

By:


Guerry L. Grune

Reg. No. 46,745

ePatentmanager.com

784 Villier Ct.

Virginia Beach, VA 23452